

The LWV's National Popular Vote Compact Study

Pro

Constitutional Issues

Difficulty of Amending the Constitution
No amendment needed with the NPV Compact
Interstate Compacts are Legitimate

Evaluating Fairness

President of the Minority
Few Battleground states
Sensitive to trends in all states
Greater participation—sensitive to all
State's rights not as important as personal vote
States make voting changes
small state power increased
electing the real winner

Mechanical Considerations

enforcement provisions
winning levels
recounts
election fraud/voter suppression

Other Issues

voting rights act
faithless electors

League Issues

uniform standards

Overarching Arguments

The NPV Compact assures that the candidate receiving the most votes in the nation is elected president. Every vote of every party is counted fairly and equally.

prepared by Gail Dryden for the Monterey Peninsula LWV

Con

Constitutional Issues

Constitution difficult to amend—Challenges
Interstate Compacts—this one different
non-compacting states
Constitutional protections

Evaluating Fairness

State's rights
Battleground changes
Support for state's winning candidate
dangerous precedent
questioning the approach
state identity and disenfranchisement
270 EC votes represents us all

Mechanical Considerations

enforcement provisions
no improvement in winning levels
recounts
election fraud/voter suppression

Other Issues

Voting Rights Act
faithless electors

League Issues

uniform standards

Overarching Arguments

Abolishing the Electoral College requires careful consideration of its replacement. Replacing the EC in this way circumvents the Constitution; replacing one system because it is not perfect with another system that is also far from perfect makes no sense.